



Docket No.: A8319.0002/P002 (PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Examiner: Leonid Shapiro

Hajime Akimoto, et al.

Application No.: 09/938,643

Art Unit: 2673

Filed: August 27, 2001

For: IMAGE DISPLAY APPARATUS AND

DRIVING METHOD THEREOF

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is in response to the election of species requirement set forth in the Office Action dated January 11, 2005. Applicants hereby elect Species 1, which is illustrated in FIGS. 1-5, for continued examination with <u>traverse</u>. At least claims 1-4, 6-13, and 16-19 read upon FIGS. 1-5.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is generic to at least claims 2-15. Claims 2-15 depend from claim 1. Accordingly, upon the allowance of generic claim 1, Applicants would be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all of the limitations of an allowed generic claims as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. In this case, at least claims 2-15.

Application No.: 09/938,643 Docket No.: A8319.0002/P002

Moreover, given the circumstances of this case, it would not be a serious

Ţ

burden for the Examiner to examine all of the claims at this time. Applicants

respectfully submit that the Examiner previously conducted a search and examined all

of the claims. i.e., claims 1-20 in the Office Action dated May 5, 2004. In response,

Applicants filed an Amendment on August 5, 2004 in which only two claims were

amended, i.e., claims 1 and 19. Accordingly, it would not be a serious burden to

continue examination of all the pending claims since a search and examination of

claims 1-20 were previously done.

M.P.E.P. § 803 provides that "[i]f the search and examination of an entire

application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the

merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions." In this

case, there are only four additional claims (5, 14-15, and 20) that would need to be

included in the Examiner's search and examination. This can be done without serious

burden.

... K

An action on the merits of all the claims and a Notice of Allowance thereof

are respectfully requested.

Dated: February 11, 2005

Respectfully, submitted,

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorney for Applicants

2